175984

AUSTIN, LEWIS & ROGERS, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

DANIEL S. LEWIS (1940-1981)

WILLIAM F. AUSTIN
E. CROSBY LEWIS
TIMOTHY F. ROGERS
RAYMON E. LARK, JR.
RICHARD L. WHITT
JEFFERSON D. GRIFFITH, III*
EDWARD L. EUBANKS
W. MICHAEL DUNCAN

*ALSO MEMBER NORTH CAROLINA BAR

COLUMBIA OFFICE:
CONGAREE BUILDING
508 HAMPTON STREET, SUITE 300
POST OFFICE BOX 11716
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 256-4000 FACSIMILE: (803) 252-3679 WWW.ALRLAW.COM WINNSBORO OFFICE: 120 NORTH CONGRESS STREET POST OFFICE BOX 1061 WINNSBORO, SOUTH CAROLINA 29180

TELEPHONE: (803) 712-9900 FACSIMILE: (803) 712-9901



September 27, 2005

VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Charles L. A. Terreni Chief Clerk and Administrator The Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Re:

Docket No: 2004-169-E Order No: 2004-417 Motion to Extend Tariff

In the Public Service Commission of South Carolina's ("Commission") Order No. 2004-417, issued in Docket No. 2004-169-E, the Commission approved Duke Power, a division of Duke Energy Corporation's ("Duke") request for a waiver of Rule 103-331 (3) on an experimental basis. This Order required Duke to make a report to this Commission on Duke's use of the Tariff and any customers' complaints or difficulties related to this Tariff, within one year of Dukes' receipt of the Commission's Order. Duke's report follows.

The waiver allowed Duke to require nonresidential customers to post a cash deposit or other form of guarantee when additional internal and external analyses of the customer's risk justified the need to secure the account.

Duke has a process by which it conducts a quarterly credit review of large Commercial and Industrial customers. As outlined in Duke's filing requesting a waiver of the Rule, the Company has established criteria under which the customer would be asked to take steps to reduce the risk to Duke.

The Honorable Charles L. A. Terreni Motion to Extend Tariff September 27, 2005 Page 2

Since the order approving the waiver of the subject rule, Duke has had one South Carolina customer who was requested to accelerate payment terms, provide a standby irrevocable letter of credit, surety bond, guarantee from a creditworthy parent company, cash deposit or combination of the above. Duke's credit review identified the customer as having an external credit rating of CCC+ and an Internal Risk Rating of 7 in October 2004 and the customer was contacted. Duke agreed to accept electronic payment on the date of the bill as an interim measure. Duke Representatives met with the customer and discussed options for mitigating the risk to Duke in November 2004 and December 2004. In January 2005 the customer provided a Standby Letter of Credit for the amount of the deposit and agreed to pay electronically within 10 days.

Duke has not received any customer complaints. In fact the customer discussed above rated Duke a 9, on a scale of 1 to 10 on a customer satisfaction survey.

On the basis of the successful experiment, Duke asks this Commission to treat this correspondence as Duke's Motion requesting the Commission's approval to continue with the waiver of the rule on a non-experimental basis.

With kind regards, we are

Sincerely,

William F. Austin Richard L. Whitt

RLW/dss

Cc: Florence Belser, Esquire